



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,771	10/26/1999	ERIK BROGAARD THOMSEN	CU-2007RJS	4414
29540	7590	09/21/2004	EXAMINER	
PITNEY HARDIN LLP 7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			PEAVEY, ENOCH E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/381,771

Applicant(s)

THOMSEN, ERIK BROGAARD

Examiner

Enoch E Peavey

Art Unit

3626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

I. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

A. Claims 9-15 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fontorks, Sanity Font © 1997 Dan Redding & Blue Knot Software (Fontworks). Fontworks discloses an advertisement print (Sanity Font Family) being printed on a plane print carrier (the page) in which print is plane and lies in the same plane as the print carrier (page 2). The print comprises a primary figurative element (in the x-y plane of the page) depicting an advertisement in a first dimension (x) and a second dimension (y). The primary figurative element (in the x-y plane) when seen in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the print carrier constitutes an angle $\alpha + 90$ degrees between the first dimension (x) and second dimension (y). The primary figurative element (in the x-y plane) when seen in a given oblique direction between a viewer and the print shows the first dimension (x) and the second dimension (y) as forming a plane of advertisement. The plane of advertisement is directed obliquely outwards of the plane of the print carrier (page 2, Sanity font). The advertisement print comprises a secondary figurative element (shadow relieved into the background) depicting the advertisement in a third dimension (z). The secondary element (shadow relieved into

Art Unit: 3676

the background) when seen in a direction perpendicular to the plane print carrier constitutes an angle $\alpha + \beta$ between the first dimension (x) and third dimension (z) and which secondary figurative element (shadow relieved into the background) when seen in the given oblique direction between the viewer and the print shows the third dimension as directed perpendicular to the plane of advertisement being formed by the first (x) and second dimension (y) and that the angles α and β are different from 0 degrees. The print is made of curable ink cured on the plane print carrier (the dried print on the page). The print is made by printing on a carrier medium (the page).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

II. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

III. Claims 16, 17, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fontworks in view of Rusin, US No. 5,941,002. Fontworks discloses the claimed invention except for the print carrier being a ground surface (extending in the vertical direction). Rusin discloses a ground surface print carrier (i.e. the print carrier is located on the ground for displaying advertisement at a sporting event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants

invention to modify Fontworks with the ground surface print carrier of Russin in order to display the advertisement at a sporting event.

Response to Arguments

IV. In accordance with the opinion of the Board, dated 21 June 2004, the Examiner has removed the 101 rejection.

Applicant continues to argue that the Fontworks reference does not anticipate the claims of the instant application. Further, applicant continues to submit claims 9-17, which remain rejected for the reasons stated below. Examiner also would like to direct the applicant's attention to page 8 of the Boards decision affirming the Examiner on the rejection of claims 9-17. Claims 18-26 are new, however they are still anticipated by the Fontworks, reference for reasons stated below and as found in the decision of the Board, dated 21 June 2004.

V. Applicant argues that the figurative element disclosed in the Fontworks reference will not form a plane of advertisement which is directed obliquely outward of the plane of the print carrier. Examiner disagrees, the lettering in Fontwork has the same basic construction as the lettering contained in the instant application (*i.e. the primary figurative element (in the x-y plane) when seen in a given oblique direction between a viewer and the print shows the first dimension (x) and the second dimension (y) as forming a plane of advertisement. The advertisement print comprises a secondary figurative element (shadow relieved into the background) depicting the advertisement in*

Art Unit: 3676

a third dimension (z). The secondary element (shadow relieved into the background) when seen in a direction perpendicular to the plane print carrier constitutes an angle $\alpha + \beta$ between the first dimension (x) and third dimension (z) and which secondary figurative element (shadow relieved into the background) when seen in the given oblique direction between the viewer and the print shows the third dimension as directed perpendicular to the plane of advertisement being formed by the first (x) and second dimension (y) and that the angles α and β are different from 0 degrees).

Because the lettering has the same construction with regard to the angles between the primary, secondary and tertiary figurative elements, they will have the same effect as the advertisement of the instant application, the applicant has failed to point out any language in the claim that would make the Fontworks reference and the reference of the instant application structurally different.

VI. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Enoch E Peavey whose telephone number is 305 1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am to 4:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305 3597 for regular communications and 305 3597 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/381,771


Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 1113.

Enoch E Peavey

Art Unit 3676


September 19, 2004